



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,883	07/16/2003	Michael A. Pickering	51163-2 DIV	9094	
7:	7590 04/15/2004			EXAMINER	
John J. Piskorski c/o EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			ABRAHAM, FETSUM		
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman, IP Group			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA 02209			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/620,883	PICKERING, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	لمود
	Fetsum Abraham	2826	Pr Pr
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'		
,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTC	D-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Photograph (c. 1)	,

Double Patenting

Claims 1-40 of this application conflict with claims 1-40 of Application No. 10/000,975. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-40 of prior U.S. Patent No. 10/000,975. This is a double patenting rejection.

Although the current application is a DIVISION of 10/0000,975, the claims however are identical.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 2826

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to PN: 6,586,886.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached at 571-272-1915.

Fetsum Abraham

4/12/04